arXiv:1204.2408v2 [math.FA] 24 Aug 2012

A NOTE ON PRODUCTS IN WEIGHTED FOURIER-LEBESGUE SPACES

KAROLINE JOHANSSON, STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ, NENAD TEOFANOV,
AND JOACHIM TOFT

Abstract. We consider multiplication properties of elements in weighted Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Especially we extend some results in [5].

0. Introduction

In this paper we extend some results from [5] concerning multiplication properties in Fourier-Lebesgue and modulation spaces.

One of the goals is to estimate the parameters s and q such that $f_1f_2 \in \mathscr{F}L^q_s$ if $f_j \in \mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. This is done in Theorem 1.2. Just to give a flavor of our results, we give below a special interesting case when q_1 or q_2 is greater than 2. Here and in what follows it is convenient to consider the functional

$$\mathsf{R}(q) \equiv 2 - \frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}, \qquad q = (q_0, q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^3. \tag{0.1}$$

Proposition 0.1. *Let* $0 \le s_j + s_k$, $j \neq k$, $\mathsf{R}(q)$ *be as in* (0.1), *and let* $f_j \in \mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. *If*

$$0 \le \mathsf{R}(q) \le \frac{1}{2} \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 \le s_0 + s_1 + s_2 - d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q),$$

with the strict inequality when $\mathsf{R}(q) > 0$ *and* $s_j = d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$ *for some* $j = 0, 1, 2$, *then* $f_1f_2 \in \mathscr{F}L^{q_0'}_{-s_0}$.

We note that Proposition 0.1 is a special case Theorem 1.2 below. Moreover, by letting $q_1 = q_2 = q_0 = 2$, Proposition 0.1 agrees with the Hörmander theorem on microlocal regularity of a product [3, Theorem 8.3.1].

From Theorem 1.2 below it also follows that Proposition 0.1 remains true after the Fourier Lebesgue spaces $\mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}$ have been replaced by the modulation or Wiener amalgam spaces $M^{p_j,q_j}_{s_j}$ and $W^{p_j,q_j}_{s_j}$, respectively, when

$$\frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = 1. \tag{0.2}$$

0.1. **Basic notions and notation.** In this subsection we collect some notation and notions which will be used in the sequel.

We put $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$, for $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$, and $A \lesssim B$ to indicate $A \le cB$ for a suitable constant $c > 0$. The scalar product in L^2 is denoted by $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)_{L^2} = (\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$.

1. Main results

In this section we extend some results from [5]. Our main main result is Theorem 1.2, where we present sufficient conditions on $s_j \in \mathbf{R}$ and $q_j \in [1, \infty]$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, to ensure that $f_1 f_2 \in \mathscr{F} L^{q_0}_{s_0}$ when $f_j \in \mathscr{F} L^{q_j}_{s_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. The result also include related multiplication properties for modulation and Wiener amalgam spaces.

Let $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)\setminus 0$, $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ be fixed. We recall that the modulation space $M^{p,q}_{s,t}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_{s,t}} \equiv \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |V_\phi f(x,\xi)\langle x\rangle^t \langle \xi\rangle^s|^p \, dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q}$$

is finite (with obvious interpretation of the integrals when $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$). In the same way, the modulation space $W^{p,q}_{s,t}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ consists of all $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{W^{p,q}_{s,t}} \equiv \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |V_\phi f(x,\xi)\langle x\rangle^t \langle \xi\rangle^s|^q \, d\xi \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite.

Lemma 1.1. *Assume that* $x_j = 1/q_j$. *If* $0 \le x_j \le 1$, *then*

$$\mathsf{R}(q) = 2 - \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_j$$

and the following statements are equivalent

$$0 \le \mathsf{R}(q) \le \frac{1}{2} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$0 \le \mathsf{R}(q) \le \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\left(\frac{1}{q_0}, \frac{1}{q_1}, \frac{1}{q_2} \right) \right). \tag{1.1$'$}$$

Proof. It is obvious that (1.1) implies (1.1)$'$. Next assume that (1.1)$'$ holds. If $\mathsf{R}(q) > 1/2$, then $\min x_j > 1/2$, which implies that

$$\mathsf{R}(q) = 2 - \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_j < 2 - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since this is a contradition, it follows that $\mathsf{R}(q) \le 1/2$ and the inequality (1.1) holds. $\square$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^r$ be open, $s_j, t_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $p_j, q_j \in [1,\infty]$, $j = 0,1,2$, and let $\mathsf{R}(q)$ be as in* (0.1) *and satisfy* (1.1) *or* (1.1)$'$. *Also assume that* (0.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&0 \le s_j + s_k, \quad j,k = 0,1,2, \quad j \neq k, \quad \textit{and}\\
&0 \le s_0 + s_1 + s_2 - d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q),
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.2}
$$

hold, with strict inequality in the last inequality in (1.2) *when* $\mathsf{R}(q) > 0$ *and $s_j = d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$ for some $j = 0,1,2$.*

Then the following is true:

1. *the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 f_2$ on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{F}L^{q_0'}_{-s_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$;*
2. *the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 f_2$ on $C_0^\infty(X)$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $(\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1})_{loc}(X) \times (\mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2})_{loc}(X)$ to $(\mathscr{F}L^{q_0'}_{-s_0})_{loc}(X)$;*
3. *if $0 \le t_0 + t_1 + t_2$, then the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 f_2$ on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends to a continuous map from $M^{p_1,q_1}_{s_1,t_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times M^{p_2,q_2}_{s_2,t_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $M^{p_0',q_0'}_{-s_0,-t_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The extension is unique when $p_j, q_j < \infty$, $j = 1,2$;*
4. *if $t_0 \le t_1 + t_2$, then the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto f_1 f_2$ on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends to a continuous map from $W^{p_1,q_1}_{s_1,t_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times W^{p_2,q_2}_{s_2,t_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $W^{p_0',q_0'}_{-s_0,-t_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. The extension is unique when $p_j, q_j < \infty$, $j = 1,2$.*

Next we apply the above result to estimate the wave-front set of products of functions from different Fourier-Lebesgue spaces. This is an extension of [3, Theorem 8.3.3 (iii)], see also [5, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $s_j \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $q_j \in [1,\infty]$, $j = 0,1,2$, and let $\mathsf{R}(q)$ in* (0.1) *be such that* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *hold with strict inequality in the last inequality in* (1.2) *when s_0, s_1 or s_2 or $-s_0$ is equal to $d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$. If $f_j \in \big(\mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}\big)_{loc}(X)$, $j = 1,2$, then $f_1 f_2$ is well-defined as an element in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and*

$$
\mathrm{WF}_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_0'}_{-s_0}}(f_1 f_2) \subseteq \mathrm{WF}_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1}}(f_1) \cup \mathrm{WF}_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2}}(f_2).
$$

2. The map $T_F(f,g)$

In this Section we introduce and study a convenient bilinear map (denoted by T_F here below when $F \in L^1_{loc}$ is appropriate).

For $F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $p, q \in [1,\infty]$, we set

$$
\|F\|_{L_1^{p,q}} \equiv \Big(\int \Big(\int |F(\xi,\eta)|^p \, d\xi \Big)^{q/p} d\eta \Big)^{1/q}
$$

and

$$
\|F\|_{L_2^{p,q}} \equiv \Big(\int \Big(\int |F(\xi,\eta)|^q \, d\eta \Big)^{p/q} d\xi \Big)^{1/p},
$$

and we let $L_1^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ be the set of all $F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ such that $\|F\|_{L_1^{p,q}}$ is finite. The space $L_2^{p,q}$ is defined analogously. (Cf. [4, 5].) We also let Θ be defined as

$$(\Theta F)(\xi,\eta) = F(\xi,\xi-\eta), \qquad F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}). \tag{2.1}$$

If $F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is fixed, then we are especially concerned about extensions of the mappings

$$(F,f,g) \mapsto \ T_F(f,g) \equiv \int F(\,\cdot\,,\eta)f(\eta)g(\,\cdot\,-\eta)\,d\eta \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$(F,f,g) \mapsto T_{\Theta F}(f,g) \equiv \int F(\,\cdot\,,\eta)f(\,\cdot\,-\eta)g(\eta)\,d\eta. \tag{2.3}$$

from $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

The following extend [3, Lemma 8.3.2] and [5, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $F \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $q_j \in [1,\infty]$, $j = 0,1,2$. Also assume that $\mathsf{R}(q)$ in (0.1) is non-negative, and let $r = 1/\mathsf{R}(q) \in (0,\infty]$. Then the following is true:*

(1) *if $\mathsf{R}(q) \le 1/q_0'$, then the mappings (2.2) and (2.3) are continuous from $L_2^{\infty,r}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times L^{q_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times L^{q_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^{q_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T_F(f,g)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\|T_{\Theta F}(f,g)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

(2) *if in addition $\mathsf{R}(q) \le \max(1/2, 1/q_1)$, then the map (2.2) is continuous from $L_1^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times L^{q_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times L^{q_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^{q_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T_F(f,g)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_1^{r,\infty}}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}.$$

(3) *if in addition $\mathsf{R}(q) \le \max(1/2, 1/q_2)$, then the map (2.3) is continuous from $L_1^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \times L^{q_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times L^{q_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^{q_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Furthermore,*

$$\|T_{\Theta F}(f,g)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_1^{r,\infty}}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}.$$

We note that Proposition 2.1 agrees with [3, Lemma 8.3.2] when $q_1 = q_2 = 2$ and with [5, Proposition 3.2] when $q_1 = q_2 \in [1,\infty]$.

Proof. (1) We only prove (2.4) and leave (2.5) for the reader.

First, assume that $q_1, q_2 < \infty$, and let $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By Hölder's inequality we get

$$\Big(\int |T_F(f,g)(\xi)|^{q_0} \, d\xi \Big)^{1/q_0}$$
$$\le \Big(\int \Big[\Big(\int |F(\xi,\eta)|^r \, d\eta \Big)^{1/r} \Big(\int |f(\eta)|^{r'} |g(\xi-\eta)|^{r'} \, d\eta \Big)^{1/r'} \Big]^{q_0} d\xi \Big)^{1/q_0}. \tag{2.6}$$

Next we use $r \ge q_0'$ and Young's inequality to obtain

$$\Big(\int |T_F(f,g)(\xi)|^{q_0} \, d\xi \Big)^{1/q_0}$$
$$\le \|F\|_{L_2^{\infty,q_0}} \Big(\| |f|^{r'} * |g|^{r'} \|_{L^{q_0/r'}} \Big)^{1/r'} \le \|F\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}} \Big(\| |f|^{r'} \|_{L^{r_1}} \| |g|^{r'} \|_{L^{r_2}} \Big)^{1/r'}$$
$$= \|F\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $r_1 = q_1/r'$ and $r_2 = q_2/r'$. The result now follows from the fact that C_0^∞ is dense in L^{q_1} and L^{q_2} when $q_1, q_2 < \infty$.

Next, assume that $q_1 = \infty$ and $q_2 < \infty$, and let $f \in L^\infty$ and $g \in C_0^\infty$. Then, it follows that $T_F(f,g)$ is well-defined, and that (2.7) still holds. The result now follows from the fact that C_0^∞ is dense in L^{q_2}. The case $q_1 < \infty$ and $q_2 = \infty$ follows analogously.

Finally, if $q_1 = q_2 = \infty$, then the assumptions implies that $r = 1$ and $q_0 = \infty$. The inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) then follow by Hölder's inequality.

(2) First we consider the case $r \ge q_1$. Let $h \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ when $r < \infty$ and $h \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if $r = \infty$. Also let $F \in L_1^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $F_0(\eta,\xi) = F(\xi,\eta)$ and $\check{g}(\xi) = g(-\xi)$. By [5, page 354], we have $| \langle T_F(f,g), h \rangle | = | \langle T_{F_0}(h,\check{g}), f \rangle |$. Then (1) implies

$$| \langle T_F(f,g), h \rangle | = | \langle T_{F_0}(h,\check{g}), f \rangle |$$
$$\le \|T_{F_0}(h,\check{g})\|_{L^{q_1'}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}} \le \|F_0\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|h\|_{L^{q'}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}$$
$$\le \|F\|_{L_1^{r,\infty}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|h\|_{L^{q'}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}.$$

Next, assume that $r \ge 2$ and $F \in L_1^{r,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. We will prove the assertion by interpolation. First we consider the case $r = \infty$. Then $\mathsf{R}(q) = 0$, and

$$\Big\| \int F(\xi,\eta) f(\eta) g(\xi-\eta) \, d\eta \Big\|_{L^{q_0}} \le \|F\|_{L_1^{\infty,\infty}} \| |f| * |g| \|_{L^{q_0}}$$
$$\le \|F\|_{L_1^{\infty,\infty}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}.$$

For the case $r = 2$ we have $\mathsf{R}(q) = 1/2$. By letting

$$M = \|F\|_{L^{2,\infty}_1}, \quad \theta = \frac{(\|g\|_{L^{2r_1}}\|h\|_{L^{2r_2}})^{1/q_1}}{\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}^{1/q_1'}}, \quad r_1 = q_2/2 \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = q_0'/2,$$

it follows from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean-value inequality and Young's inequality that

$$|\,\langle T_F(f,g),h\rangle\,| \le \int \Big(\int |F(\xi,\eta)||g(\xi-\eta)||h(\xi)|\,d\xi\Big)|f(\eta)|\,d\eta$$

$$\le M \int \Big(\int |g(\xi-\eta)|^2|h(\xi)|^2\,d\xi\Big)^{1/2}|f(\eta)|\,d\eta$$

$$\le M \int \Big(\frac{\theta^{q_1}}{q_1}|f(\eta)|^{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1'\theta^{q_1'}}\Big(\int |g(\xi-\eta)|^2|h(\xi)|^2\,d\xi\Big)^{q_1'/2}\Big)\,d\eta$$

$$= M\Big(\frac{\theta^{q_1}}{q_1}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}^{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1'\theta^{q_1'}}\||g|^2 * |h|^2\|_{L^{q_1'/2}}^{q_1'/2}\Big)$$

$$\le M\Big(\frac{\theta^{q_1}}{q_1}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}^{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1'\theta^{q_1'}}(\||g|^2\|_{L^{r_1}}\||h|^2\|_{L^{r_2}})^{q_1'/2}\Big)$$

$$= M\Big(\frac{\theta^{q_1}}{q_1}\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}^{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1'\theta^{q_1'}}(\|g\|_{L^{2r_1}}\|h\|_{L^{2r_2}})^{q_1'}\Big)$$

$$= M\Big(\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_1'}\Big)\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}\|h\|_{L^{q_0'}}$$

$$= M\|f\|_{L^{q_1}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}\|h\|_{L^{q_0'}}.$$

This gives the result for $r = 2$.

Since we also have proved the result for $r = \infty$. The assertion (2) now follows for general $r \in [2,\infty]$ by multi-linear interpolation, using Theorems 4.4.1, 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 in [1].

The assertion (3) follows by similar arguments as in the proof of (2). The details are left for the reader. The proof is complete.

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

Before the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need some preparation, and formulate auxiliary results in three Lemmas.

First, we recall [5, Lemma 3.5] which concerns different integrals of the function

$$F(\xi,\eta) = \langle\xi\rangle^{s_0}\langle\xi-\eta\rangle^{-s_1}\langle\eta\rangle^{-s_2}, \quad \xi,\eta \in \mathbf{R}^d, \tag{3.1}$$

where $s_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$. These integrals, with respect to ξ or η, are taken over the sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_1 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \langle \eta \rangle < \delta \langle \xi \rangle \,\},\\
&\Omega_2 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \langle \xi - \eta \rangle < \delta \langle \xi \rangle \,\},\\
&\Omega_3 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \delta \langle \xi \rangle \le \min(\langle \eta \rangle, \langle \xi - \eta \rangle),\ |\xi| \le R \,\},\\
&\Omega_4 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \delta \langle \xi \rangle \le \langle \xi - \eta \rangle \le \langle \eta \rangle,\ |\xi| > R \,\},\\
&\Omega_5 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \delta \langle \xi \rangle \le \langle \eta \rangle \le \langle \xi - \eta \rangle,\ |\xi| > R \,\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

for some positive constants δ and R. By χ_{Ω_j} we denote the characteristic function of the set Ω_j, $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be given by* (3.1) *and let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_5$ be given by* (3.2)*, for some constants $0 < \delta < 1$ and $R \ge 4/\delta$. Also let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $F_j = \chi_{\Omega_j} F$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$. Then the following is true:*

(1)

$$
\|F_1(\xi, \,\cdot\,)\|_{L^p} \lesssim
\begin{cases}
\langle \xi \rangle^{s_0 - s_1} \big(1 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-s_2 + d/p} \big), & s_2 \ne d/p,\\
\langle \xi \rangle^{s_0 - s_1} \big(1 + \log \langle \xi \rangle \big)^{1/p}, & s_2 = d/p;
\end{cases}
$$

(2)

$$
\|F_2(\xi, \,\cdot\,)\|_{L^p} \lesssim
\begin{cases}
\langle \xi \rangle^{s_0 - s_2} \big(1 + \langle \xi \rangle^{-s_1 + d/p} \big), & s_1 \ne d/p,\\
\langle \xi \rangle^{s_0 - s_2} \big(1 + \log \langle \xi \rangle \big)^{1/p}, & s_1 = d/p;
\end{cases}
$$

(3) $\|F_3(\,\cdot\,, \eta)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \langle \eta \rangle^{-s_1 - s_2}$*;*

(4) *if $j = 4$ or $j = 5$, then*

$$
\|F_j(\,\cdot\,, \eta)\|_{L^p} \lesssim
\begin{cases}
\langle \eta \rangle^{s_0 - s_1 - s_2 + d/p}, & s_0 > -d/p,\\
\langle \eta \rangle^{-s_1 - s_2} \big(1 + \log \langle \eta \rangle \big)^{1/p}, & s_0 = -d/p,\\
\langle \eta \rangle^{-s_1 - s_2}, & s_0 < -d/p.
\end{cases}
$$

We refer to [5] for the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Next we estimate each of the auxiliary functions T_{F_j}, defined by (2.2) with F replaced by F_j, $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathsf{R}(q)$ and F be given by* (0.1) *and* (3.1)*, and let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_5$ be given by* (3.2)*, for some constants $0 < \delta < 1$ and $R \ge 4/\delta$. Moreover, let $F_j = \chi_{\Omega_j} F$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$, and $u_j = \langle \,\cdot\, \rangle^{s_j} v_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Then the estimate*

$$
\|T_{F_j}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^{q_0'}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}
$$

holds when:

(1) $j = 1, 2$, *for* $\mathsf{R}(q) \le 1/q_0$, $s_0 \le s_1$, $s_0 \le s_2$ *and*

$$s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q),$$

where the above inequality is strict when $s_1 = d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$ *or* $s_2 = d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$.

(2) $j = 3$, *for*

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{R}(q) \le \min(1/q_1, 1/q_2) & \text{when} \quad q_1, q_2 < 2, \\ \mathsf{R}(q) \le 1/2 & \text{when} \quad q_1 \ge 2 \quad \text{or} \quad q_2 \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$0 \le s_1 + s_2;$$

(3) $j = 4$ *for* $\mathsf{R}(q) \le \max(1/q_2, 1/2)$,

$$0 \le s_1 + s_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q),$$

with $0 < s_1 + s_2$ *when* $s_0 = -d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$;

(4) $j = 5$, *for* $\mathsf{R}(q) \le \max(1/q_1, 1/2)$,

$$0 \le s_1 + s_2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q),$$

with $0 < s_1 + s_2$ *when* $s_0 = -d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$.

Proof. Let $r = 1/\mathsf{R}(q)$.

(1) The condition $\mathsf{R}(q) \le 1/q_0$ implies that $r \ge q_0'$. By Lemma 3.1 (1) it follows that

$$\|F_1\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}} < \infty \tag{3.3}$$

when $s_0 \le s_1$ and

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d/r, & \text{for} \quad s_2 \ne d/r \\ s_0 < s_1, & \text{for} \quad s_2 = d/r. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3.1 (2) it follows that

$$\|F_2\|_{L_2^{\infty,r}} < \infty \tag{3.4}$$

when $s_0 \le s_2$ and

$$\begin{cases} s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d/r, & \text{for} \quad s_1 \ne d/r \\ s_0 < s_2, & \text{for} \quad s_1 = d/r. \end{cases}$$

This, together with Proposition 2.1 (1) gives

$$\|T_{F_j}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^{q_0'}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

(2) By Lemma 3.1 (3) we have

$$\|F_3\|_{L_1^{r_0,\infty}} < \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

when $s_1 + s_2 \ge 0$ and $r_0 \in [1, \infty]$. In particular, if $r_0 = r = 1/\mathsf{R}(q)$ and $r \ge \min(2, \max(q_1, q_2))$, then it follows from Proposition 2.1 (2) and (3) that

$$\|T_{F_3}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^r} \le C \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}.$$

This gives (2).

Next consider T_{F_4} and T_{F_5}. By Lemma 3.1 (4) it follows that

$$\|F_4\|_{L^{r,\infty}_1} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|F_5\|_{L^{r,\infty}_1} < \infty \tag{3.6}$$

when

$$\begin{cases} s_0 - s_1 - s_2 + d/r \le 0, & s_0 > -d/r \\ s_1 + s_2 > 0, & s_0 = -d/r \\ s_1 + s_2 \ge 0, & s_0 < -d/r. \end{cases}$$

If $s_0 > -d/r$ and $s_0 - s_1 - s_2 + d/r \le 0$, then $s_1 + s_2 > 0$. Therefore (3.6) holds when

$$0 \le s_1 + s_2$$

and

$$s_0 \le s_1 + s_2 - d/r,$$

with $0 < s_1 + s_2$ when $s_0 = -d/r$. Hence Proposition 2.1 (3) gives

$$\|T_{F_4}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^{q_0'}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}$$

for $r \ge \min(2, q_2)$, and (3) follows.

Finally, by Proposition 2.1 (2) we get that

$$\|T_{F_5}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}$$

when $r \ge \min(2, q_1)$. This gives (4), and the proof is complete. $\Box$

In the following lemma we give another view to Lemma 3.2, which will be used for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let F, F_j and u_j be the same as in Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, assume that* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *hold, with strict inequality in the last inequality in* (1.2) *when s_1, s_2 or $-s_0$ is equal to $d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$. Then*

$$\|T_{F_j}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^{q_0}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}$$

holds for every $j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$.

Furthermore, if the conditions in (1.1) *and* (1.2) *are violated, then at least one of the relations in* (1)-(5) *in Lemma 3.2 is violated.*

We have now the following result which is needed for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $s_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $q_j \in [1, \infty]$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ and let $\mathsf{R}(q)$ be as in* (0.1)*. Also assume that* (1.1) *and* (1.2) *hold, with strict inequality in the last inequality in* (1.2) *when $\mathsf{R}(q) > 0$ and $s_j = d \cdot \mathsf{R}(q)$ for some $j = 0, 1, 2$. Then the map $(v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 * v_2$ on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to a continuous map from $L^{q_1}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times L^{q_2}_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $L^{q_0'}_{-s_0}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Proof. First we note that (1.1) is not fulfilled when all $q_j \ge 2$ and at least one of them is strictly larger than 2. The similar fact is true if the condition (1.1) is replaced by

$$\mathsf{R}(q) \le \mathsf{H}(q), \tag{1.1$'$}$$

where $\mathsf{H}(q) = \min(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1})$ when $q_j \le 2$, $\mathsf{H}(q) = \max(q_0^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, q_2^{-1})$ when $q_j \ge 2$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and $\mathsf{H}(q) = 2^{-1}$ otherwise. Hence, we may replace the condition (1.1) by (1.1)$'$ when proving the proposition.

First we assume that

$$\mathsf{R}(q) \le \frac{1}{q_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{R}(q) \le \max\left(\frac{1}{2}, \min\left(\frac{1}{q_1}, \frac{1}{q_2}\right)\right), \tag{1.1$''$}$$

and that (1.2) holds and $v_j \in L^{q_j}_{s_j}$, $j = 1, 2$. We express $v_1 * v_2$ in terms of T_F given by (2.2) and F given by (3.1) as follows. Let Ω_j, $j = 1, \dots, 5$, be the same as in (3.2) after Ω_2 has been modified into

$$\Omega_2 = \{\, (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}\, ;\, \langle \xi - \eta \rangle < \delta \langle \xi \rangle \,\} \setminus \Omega_1 .$$

Then $\cup \Omega_j = \mathbf{R}^{2d}$, $\Omega_j \cap \Omega_k$ has Lebesgue measure zero when $j \ne k$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 * v_2)(\xi)\langle \xi \rangle^s = \int F(\xi, \eta) u_1(\xi - \eta) u_2(\eta)\, d\eta &= T_F(u_1, u_2) \\ &= T_{F_1}(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + T_{F_5}(u_1, u_2)\end{aligned}$$

where $u_j(\,\cdot\,) = \langle \,\cdot\, \rangle^{s_j} v_j$, $j = 1, 2$, and $F_j = \chi_{\Omega_j} F$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Now, Lemma 3.3 implies that the $L^{q_0'}$ norm of each of the terms T_{F_j}, $j = 1, \dots, 5$ is bounded by $C\|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}}$ for some positive constant C which is independent of $v_1 \in L^{q_1}_{s_1}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $v_2 \in L^{q_2}_{s_2}(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Hence, $v_1 * v_2 \in L^{q_0'}_{-s_0}$ when (1.1)$''$ holds. By duality, the same conclusion holds when the roles for q_j, $j = 0, 1, 2$ have been interchanged. By straight forward computations it follows that (1.1)$'$ is fulfilled if and only if (1.1)$''$ or one of the dual cases of (1.1)$''$ are fulfilled. This gives the result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The assertion (1) follows by letting $v_j = \widehat{f_j}$ in Proposition 3.4.

In order to prove (2), we assume that $f_j \in (\mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j})_{loc}$ and let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(X)$. Then we choose $\phi_1 = \phi$ and $\phi_2 \in C_0^\infty(X)$ such that $\phi_2 = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \phi$. Since $\phi_j f_j \in \mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}$, the right-hand side of

$$f_1 f_2 \phi = (f_1 \phi_1)(f_2 \phi_2)$$

is well-defined, and defines an element in $\mathscr{F}L^{q_0}_{s_0}$, in view of (1). This gives (2).

When proving (3) we first consider the case when $p_j, q_j < \infty$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $M^{p_j,q_j}_{s_j,t_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $M^{p,q}_{s,t}$ decreases with t, and the map $f \mapsto \langle \,\cdot\, \rangle^{t_0} f$ is a bijection from $M^{p,q}_{s,t+t_0}$ to $M^{p,q}_{s,t}$, for every choices of $p, q \in [1,\infty]$ and $s, t, t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, it follows that we may assume that $t_j = 0$, $j = 0,1,2$.

We have

$$(V_\phi(f_1f_2))(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d/2}\big((V_{\phi_1}f_1)(x,\,\cdot\,) * (V_{\phi_2}f_2)(x,\,\cdot\,)\big)(\xi),$$

$$\phi = \phi_1\phi_2,\ \phi_j, f_j \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^d),\ j = 1,2, \quad (3.7)$$

which follows by straight-forward application of Fourier's inversion formula. Here the convolutions between the factors $(V_{\phi_j}f_j)(x,\xi)$, where $j = 1,2$ should be taken over the ξ variable only.

By applying the L^{p_0} norm with respect to the x variables and using Hölder's inequality we get

$$\|V_\phi(f_1f_2))(\,\cdot\,,\xi)\|_{L^{p_0}} \le (2\pi)^{-d/2}(v_1 * v_2)(\xi),$$

where $v_j = \|V_{\phi_j}f_j)(\,\cdot\,,\eta)\|_{L^{p_j}}$. Hence by applying the $L^{q_0}_{s_0}$ norm on the latter inequality and using Proposition 3.4 we get

$$\|f_1f_2\|_{M^{p_0,q_0}_{s_0,0}} \lesssim \|v_1\|_{L^{q_1}_{s_1}}\|v_2\|_{L^{q_2}_{s_2}} \asymp \|f_1\|_{M^{p_1,q_1}_{s_1,0}}\|f_2\|_{M^{p_2,q_2}_{s_2,0}},$$

and (3) follows in this case, since $\mathscr{S}$ is dense in $M^{p_j,q_j}_{s_j,0}$ for $j = 1,2$.

For general p_j and q_j, (3) follows from the latter inequality and Hahn-Banach's theorem.

Finally, by interchanging the order of integration, (4) follows by similar arguments as in the proof of (3). The proof is complete. $\Box$

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Again we only prove the result for $1 < q < \infty$, leaving small modifications when $q \in \{1,\infty\}$ to the reader.

Assume that $(x_0,\xi_0) \notin \mathrm{WF}_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1}}(f_1) \cup \mathrm{WF}_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2}}(f_2)$. It is no restriction to assume that f_j has compact support and $\xi_0 \notin \Sigma_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j}}(f_j)$, $j = 1,2$. Then $|f_j|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_j}_{s_j},\Gamma} < \infty$ for some conic neighborhood Γ of ξ_0. Furthermore, for some $\delta \in (0,1)$ and open cone Γ_1 of ξ_0 such that $\overline{\Gamma_1} \subseteq \Gamma$ we have $\xi - \eta \in \Gamma$ when $\xi \in \Gamma_1$ and $|\eta| < \delta|\xi|$.

Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be the same as in (3.2), $\Omega_0 = \complement(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$, and let

$$J_k(\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^s \int_{(\xi,\eta)\in\Omega_k} |\widehat{f_1}(\xi-\eta)\widehat{f_2}(\eta)|\,d\eta$$

for $k = 0,1,2$.

We have $C^{-1}\langle\xi\rangle \le \langle\xi-\eta\rangle \le C\langle\xi\rangle$ when $(\xi,\eta) \in \Omega_1$. This gives

$$J_1(\xi) = \langle\xi\rangle^s \int_{\Omega_1} |\widehat{f_1}(\xi-\eta)|\,|\widehat{f_2}(\eta)|\,d\eta$$

$$\le \int_{\Omega_1} \langle\xi\rangle^s\langle\xi-\eta\rangle^{-s_2}\langle\eta\rangle^{-s_1}|\chi_\Gamma\widehat{f_1}(\xi-\eta)|\langle\xi-\eta\rangle^{s_2}\,|\widehat{f_2}(\eta)|\langle\eta\rangle^{s_1}\,d\eta. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, Lemma 3.1 (1) implies that

$$\|J_1\|_{L^q(\Gamma_1)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_1,\Gamma}_{s_1}} |f_2|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly,

$$\|J_2\|_{L^q(\Gamma_1)} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1}} |f_2|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_2,\Gamma}_{s_2}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Consider next $\Omega_0 = \complement(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$. Then

$$\{\, (\xi,\eta) \in \Omega_0 \, ; \, \xi \in \Gamma_1 \,\} \subseteq \Omega_3 \cup \Omega_4 \cup \Omega_5,$$

where Ω_j, $j = 3, 4, 5$ are the same as in (3.2), and

$$J_0 \le T_{F_3}(u_1, u_2) + T_{F_4}(u_1, u_2) + T_{F_5}(u_1, u_2),$$

where $u_j(\xi) = \widehat{f_j}(\xi)\langle \xi \rangle^{s_j}$, F_j are the same as in Lemma 3.1, and T_{F_j} are the same as in Lemma 3.2, $j = 3, 4, 5$. Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\|T_{F_j}(u_1, u_2)\|_{L^q} \le C\|f_1\|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_1}_{s_1}} \|f_2\|_{\mathscr{F}L^{q_2}_{s_2}}, \quad j = 3, 4, 5.$$

These estimates follow from Lemma 3.3 which completes the proof. □

References

[1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork, 1976.

[2] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, vol I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[3] L. Hörmander, Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[4] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue. Part I, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 17 (3) (2011), 374 – 407.

[5] S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, Micro-local analysis in Fourier Lebesgue and modulation spaces. Part II, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 1 (3) (2010), 341 – 376.

Department of Computer science, Mathematics and Physics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: `karoline.johansson@lnu.se`

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail address: `stevan.pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs`

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail address: `nenad.teofanov@dmi.uns.ac.rs`

Department of Computer science, Mathematics and Physics, Linnæus University, Växjö, Sweden
E-mail address: `joachim.toft@lnu.se`